

From: dave@campdave.newwww.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/27/02 11:13pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

Under the Tunney Act, I wish to comment on the proposed Microsoft settlement. I agree with the problems identified in Dan Kegel's analysis (on the Web at <http://www.kegel.com/remedy/remedy2.html>).

I find it particularly objectionable that the PFJ doesn't take into account Windows-compatible competing operating systems. MicroSoft should not be allowed to raise artificial barriers against non-Microsoft operating systems which implement the APIs needed to run application programs written for Windows.

This problem alone makes me conclude that the Proposed Final Judgment as written allows and encourages significant anticompetitive practices to continue, and would delay the emergence of competing Windows-compatible operating systems.

In addition to the other problems expressed by Dan Kegel, I strongly believe that the Proposed Final Judgment is not in the public interest, and should not be adopted without addressing these issues.

David B. Belser

Dave Belser (dave@newwww.com) New England's Event Calendar
What Where When New England
603-753-8853 <http://newwww.com>